arXiv:1304.2122v1 [math.PR] 8 Apr 2013

# Semilinear Stochastic Evolution Equations with Lévy Noise and Monotone Nonlinearity

Erfan Salavati, Bijan Z. Zangeneh

Department of Mathematics, Sharif University of Technology
Tehran, Iran

**Abstract**

Semilinear stochastic evolution equations with multiplicative Lévy noise and monotone nonlinear drift are considered. Unlike other similar work we do not impose coercivity condition on coefficients. Existence and uniqueness of the mild solution is proved using an iterative method. The continuity of the solution with respect to initial condition and coefficients is proved and a sufficient condition for exponential asymptotic stability of the solutions has been derived. The solutions are proved to have Markov property. As examples of the theory developed, stochastic partial differential equations and stochastic delay equations are provided. The main tool in our study is an Itô type inequality which gives a pathwise bound for the norm of stochastic convolution integrals.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 60H10, 60H15, 60G51, 47H05, 47J35.

Keywords: Stochastic Evolution Equation, Monotone Operator, Lévy Noise, Itö type inequality, Stochastic Convolution Integral.

## 1 Introduction

Stochastic evolution equations have been an active area of research for many years. There are two main approaches in the study of nonlinear stochastic evolution equations. First studies equations of type

$$dX_t = AX_t dt + f(X_t)dt + g(X_t)dW_t$$

in a Hilbert space where $A$ is the infinitesimal generator of a $C_0$ semigroup of linear operators, $W_t$ is a Wiener process or more generally a martingale and $f$ and $g$ are assumed to be Lipschitz. Among studies taking this approach one can note [1] in which the existence and uniqueness of mild solution for stochastic evolution equations with martingale noise is proved.

The second approach considers equations of type

$$dX_t = F(X_t)dt + G(X_t)dW_t$$

in a Hilbert space $H$ equipped with a Banach space $B$ with dense embeddings $B \subset H \subset B^*$, where $W_t$ is a Wiener process with values in a Hilbert space and $F$ and $G$ are generally assumed to be unbounded nonlinear operators that satisfy certain monotonicity and coercivity properties. This approach has been taken in [2].

Each of these two approaches are stochastic versions of well known deterministic methods in nonlinear analysis. Another deterministic method is the semilinear evolution equations with monotone coefficients, and it first appeared in the works of Browder [3] and Kato [4]. This approach has been generalized to stochastic evolution equations by Zangeneh [5] and [6] to study equations of type

$$dX_t = AX_t dt + f(X_t)dt + g(X_t)dW_t, \tag{1}$$

where $W_t$ is a Wiener process and $f$ has a monotonicity assumption, i.e. there exists a real constant $M$ such that $\langle f(x) - f(y), x - y\rangle \leq M\|x - y\|^2$. We call such $f$ a *semimonotone* operator. This approach is clearly a generalization of the first approach and has an advantage to the second approach since it does not require the coercivity property, and hence is suitable for the study of stochastic hyperbolic equations. The existence and uniqueness of the mild solution for such equations has been proved in [6].

There are a number of other papers that have developed this approach which we list some of them. Jahanipur and Zangeneh [7] derived sufficient conditions for exponential asymptotic stability of solutions of (1). Jahanipur [8] considered stochastic delay evolution equations and proved existence and stability of mild solutions. Jahanipur [9] generalized the results to stochastic functional evolution equations with coefficients depending on the past path of the solution. Hamedani and Zangeneh [10] considered a stopped version of (1) and proved existence and uniqueness of the solution using a stopped maximal inequality for $p$-th moment of stochastic convolution integrals, which they proved in [11]. Dadashi and Zangeneh [12] studied the large deviation principle for (1). Finally, Zamani and Zangeneh [13] studied a limiting problem of such equations arising from random motion of highly elastic strings.

In recent years some research has appeared on stochastic evolution equations with Lévy noise. Their approaches are same as the first and second approaches above, see e.g. [14] and [15] where they both consider the Lipschitz coefficients.

In this article we develop the method of [6] to the case of Lévy noise. We study the equation

$$dX_t = AX_t dt + f(t, X_t)dt + g(t, X_{t-})dW_t + \int_E k(t, \xi, X_{t-})\tilde{N}(dt, d\xi),$$

where $W_t$ is a cylindrical Wiener process on a Hilbert space $K$ and $\tilde{N}(dt, d\xi)$ is a compensated Poisson random measure. We assume $f$ is semimonotone and $g$ and $k$ are Lipschitz. In section 2 the assumptions on coefficients are stated precisely. We prove the existence and uniqueness of the mild solution in section 4. The continuity of the solution with respect to initial conditions and coefficients will be proved in section 5 and a sufficient condition for the exponential stability of the solutions will be derived. Section 6 is devoted to proving the Markov property of the solutions. Some of the statements have been published previously in [16], but the proofs were just outlined.

Our method in proving the existence of the solution is a certain iterative method that is specific to this type of equations. The main tool in our study is an Itô type inequality that gives a pathwise bound for the norm of stochastic convolution integrals, which has been proved in [6]. Since the usual inequalities for stochastic convolution integrals are not applicable to our equation, the so called inequality plays a central role in our study. Another inequality which will be used is a Burkholder type inequality which is an analogous of Burkholder-Davies-Gundy inequality for stochastic convolution integrals and is proved in [6]. The above mentioned inequalities will be stated in section 3.

In the last section we will provide some concrete examples that our results could apply. The examples consist of three semilinear stochastic partial differential equations and a stochastic delay differential equation.

We will use the notion of stochastic integration with respect to cylindrical Wiener process and compensated Poisson random measure. For the definition and properties see [15], sections 7.1.2, 8.2 and 8.7 and [14].

# 2 The Problem

Let $H$ be a separable Hilbert space with inner product $\langle\,,\,\rangle$. Let $S_t$ be a $C_0$ semigroup on $H$ with infinitesimal generator $A : D(A) \to H$. Furthermore we assume the exponential growth condition on $S_t$, i.e. there exists a constant $\alpha$ such that $\|S_t\| \leq e^{\alpha t}$. If $\alpha = 0$, $S_t$ is called a contraction semigroup. We denote by $L_{HS}(K, H)$ the space of Hilbert-Schmidt mappings from a Hilbert space $K$ to $H$.

**Definition.** $f : H \to H$ is called *demicontinuous* if whenever $x_n \to x$, strongly in $H$ then $f(x_n) \rightharpoonup f(x)$ weakly in $H$.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space. Let $(E, \mathcal{E})$ be a measurable space and $N(dt, d\xi)$ a Poisson random measure on $\mathbb{R}^+ \times E$ with intensity measure $dt\nu(d\xi)$. Our goal is to study the following equation in $H$,

$$dX_t = AX_t dt + f(t, X_t)dt + g(t, X_{t-})dW_t + \int_E k(t, \xi, X_{t-})\tilde{N}(dt, d\xi), \qquad (2)$$

where $W_t$ is a cylindrical Wiener process on a Hilbert space $K$ and $\tilde{N}(dt, d\xi) = N(dt, d\xi) - dt\nu(d\xi)$ is the compensated Poisson random measure corresponding to $N$. We assume that $N$ and $W_t$ are independent. We also assume the following,

**Hypothesis 1.** **(a)** $f(t, x, \omega) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times H \times \Omega \to H$ is measurable, $\mathcal{F}_t$-adapted, demicontinuous with respect to $x$ and there exists a constant $M$ such that

$$\langle f(t, x, \omega) - f(t, y, \omega), x - y\rangle \leq M\|x - y\|^2,$$

**(b)** $g(t,x,\omega):\mathbb{R}^+\times H\times\Omega\to L_{HS}(K,H)$ and $k(t,\xi,x,\omega):\mathbb{R}^+\times E\times H\times\Omega\to H$ are predictable and there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$\|g(t,x,\omega)-g(t,y,\omega)\|^2_{L_{HS}(K,H)}+\int_E \|k(t,\xi,x)-k(t,\xi,y)\|^2\nu(d\xi)\le C\|x-y\|^2,$$

**(c)** There exists a constant $D$ such that

$$\|f(t,x,\omega)\|^2+\|g(t,x,\omega)\|^2_{L_{HS}(K,H)}+\int_E \|k(t,\xi,x)\|^2\nu(d\xi)\le D(1+\|x\|^2),$$

**(d)** $X_0(\omega)$ is $\mathcal{F}_0$ measurable and square integrable.

**Definition.** By a *mild solution* of equation (2) with initial condition $X_0$ we mean an adapted càdlàg process $X_t$ that satisfies

$$X_t=S_tX_0+\int_0^t S_{t-s}f(s,X_s)ds+\int_0^t S_{t-s}g(s,X_{s-})dW_s \\ +\int_0^t\int_E S_{t-s}k(s,\xi,X_{s-})\tilde{N}(ds,d\xi). \quad (3)$$

# 3 Stochastic Convolution Integrals

In this section we review some properties and results about convolution integrals of type $X_t=\int_0^t S_{t-s}dM_s$ where $M_t$ is a martingale. These are called stochastic convolution integrals. Kotelenez [17] has proved that stochastic convolution integrals always have a càdlàg version. Kotelenez [1] also gives a maximal inequality for stochastic convolution integrals.

**Theorem 1** (Kotelenez, [1])**.** *There exists a constant* $\mathbf{C}$ *such that for any* $H$*-valued càdlàg locally square integrable martingale* $M_t$ *we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\int_0^t S_{t-s}dM_s\|^2\le \mathbf{C}e^{4\alpha T}\mathbb{E}[M]_T.$$

**Remark 1.** Hamedani and Zangeneh [11] generalized this inequality to a stopped maximal inequality for $p$-th moment $(0<p<\infty)$ of stochastic convolution integrals.

Because of the presence of monotone nonlinearity in our equation, we need an energy inequality for stochastic convolution integrals. For this reason the following pathwise inequality for the norm of stochastic convolution integrals has been proved in Zangeneh [6].

**Theorem 2** (Itô type inequality, Zangeneh [6])**.** *Let* $Z_t$ *be an* $H$*-valued càdlàg locally square integrable semimartingale. If*

$$X_t=S_tX_0+\int_0^t S_{t-s}dZ_s,$$

*then*

$$\|X_t\|^2 \le e^{2\alpha t}\|X_0\|^2 + 2\int_0^t e^{2\alpha(t-s)}\langle X_{s-}, dZ_s\rangle + \int_0^t e^{2\alpha(t-s)} d[Z]_s,$$

*where $[Z]_t$ is the quadratic variation process of $Z_t$.*

We will need also the following inequality which is an analogous of Burkholder-Davies-Gundy inequality for stochastic convolution integrals.

**Theorem 3** (Burkholder Type Inequality, Zangeneh [6], Theorem 2, page 147)**.** *Let $p \ge 2$ and $T > 0$. Let $S_t$ be a contraction semigroup on $H$ and $M_t$ be an $H$-valued square integrable càdlàg martingale for $t \in [0,T]$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|\int_0^t S_{t-s} dM_s\|^p \le K_p \mathbb{E}([M]_T^{\frac{p}{2}})$$

*where $K_p$ is a constant depending only on p.*

We state the following corollary for future reference.

**Corollary 4.** *Let $p \ge 2$ and $T > 0$. Let $S_t$ be a contraction semigroup on $H$ and $Z_t = F_t + M_t$ for $t \in [0,T]$ where $F_t$ is an $H$-valued càdlàg process with finite variation $|F|_t$ on $[0,T]$ and $M_t$ is an $H$-valued square integrable càdlàg martingale with quadratic variation $[M]_t$. Assume that*

$$\mathbb{E}|F|_T^p < \infty, \qquad \mathbb{E}[M]_T^{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty.$$

*Then*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|\int_0^t S_{t-s} dZ_s\|^p < \infty.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|\int_0^t S_{t-s} dZ_s\|^p &\le \\ & 2^p \mathbb{E} \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|\int_0^t S_{t-s} dF_s\|^p + 2^p \mathbb{E} \sup_{0\le t\le T} \|\int_0^t S_{t-s} dM_s\|^p \\ &\le 2^p \mathbb{E}\left(|F|_T^p\right) + 2^p K_p \mathbb{E}\left([M]_T^{\frac{p}{2}}\right) < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Theorem 3. □

# 4 Existence and Uniqueness

Our proof for the existence of a mild solution relies on an iterative method which in each step requires to solve a deterministic equation, i.e. an equation in which $\omega$ appears only as a parameter. The following theorem proved in Zangeneh [18] and [5] guarantees the solvability of such equations and the measurability of the solution with respect to parameter.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space and assume $f$ satisfies Hypothesis 1-(a) and there exists a constant $D$ such that $\|f(t,x,\omega)\|^2 \leq D(1+\|x\|^2)$ and assume $V(t,\omega)$ is an adapted process with càdlàg trajectories and $X_0(\omega)$ is $\mathcal{F}_0$ measurable.

**Theorem 5** (Zangeneh, [18] and [5])**.** *With assumptions made above, the equation*

$$X_t = S_t X_0 + \int_0^t S_{t-s} f(s, X_s, \omega) ds + V(t,\omega)$$

*has a unique measurable adapted càdlàg solution $X_t(\omega)$.*

**Remark 2.** Note that the original theorem is stated for evolution operators and requires some additional assumptions, but those are automatically satisfied for $C_0$ semigroups. (See Curtain and Pritchard [19] page 29, Theorem 2.21).

**Theorem 6** (Existence and Uniqueness of the Mild Solution)**.** *Under the assumptions of Hypothesis 1, equation* (2) *has a unique square integrable càdlàg mild solution with initial condition $X_0$.*

**Lemma 7.** *It suffices to prove theorem 6 for the case that $\alpha = 0$.*

*Proof.* Define

$$\tilde{S}_t = e^{-\alpha t} S_t, \qquad \tilde{f}(t,x,\omega) = e^{-\alpha t} f(t, e^{\alpha t}x, \omega), \qquad \tilde{g}(t,x,\omega) = e^{-\alpha t} g(t, e^{\alpha t}x, \omega),$$
$$\tilde{k}(t,\xi,x,\omega) = e^{-\alpha t} k(t,\xi, e^{\alpha t}x, \omega).$$

Note that $\tilde{S}_t$ is a contraction semigroup. It is easy to see that $X_t$ is a mild solution of equation (2) if and only if $\tilde{X}_t = e^{-\alpha t} X_t$ is a mild solution of equation with coefficients $\tilde{S}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{k}$. ☐

*Proof of Theorem 6. Uniqueness.* According to the lemma, we can assume $\alpha = 0$. Assume that $X_t$ and $Y_t$ are two mild solutions with same initial conditions. Subtracting them we find

$$X_t - Y_t = \int_0^t S_{t-s} dZ_s,$$

where

$$dZ_t = (f(t,X_t) - f(t,Y_t))dt + (g(t,X_{t-}) - g(t,Y_{t-}))dW_t$$
$$+ \int_E (k(t,\xi,X_{t-}) - k(t,\xi,Y_{t-}))d\tilde{N}.$$

Applying Itô type inequality (Theorem 2) for $\alpha = 0$ to $X_t - Y_t$ we find

$$\|X_t - Y_t\|^2 \leq 2\int_0^t \langle X_{s-} - Y_{s-}, dZ_s \rangle + [Z]_t.$$

Taking expectations and noting that integrals with respect to cylindrical Wiener processes and compensated Poisson random measures are martingales, we find that

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_t - Y_t\|^2 \leq 2\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\langle X_{s-} - Y_{s-}, f(s,X_s) - f(s,Y_s)\rangle ds + \mathbb{E}[Z]_t,$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}[Z]_t = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|g(s,X_s) - g(s,Y_s)\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \int_E \mathbb{E}\|k(s,\xi,X_s) - k(s,\xi,Y_s)\|^2 \nu(d\xi)ds.$$

Using assumptions of Hypothesis 1-(a) and 1-(b) we find that

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_t - Y_t\|^2 \leq (2M + C)\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s - Y_s\|^2 ds.$$

Using Gronwall's lemma we conclude that $X_t = Y_t$, almost surely.

*Existence.* It suffices to prove the existence of a solution on a finite interval $[0,T]$. Then one can show easily that these solutions are consistent and give a global solution. We define adapted càdlàg processes $X_t^n$ recursively as follows. Let $X_t^0 = S_t X_0$. Assume $X_t^{n-1}$ is defined. Theorem 5 implies that there exists an adapted càdlàg solution $X_t^n$ of

$$X_t^n = S_t X_0 + \int_0^t S_{t-s} f(s, X_s^n) ds + V_t^n, \tag{4}$$

where

$$V_t^n = \int_0^t S_{t-s} g(s, X_{s-}^{n-1}) dW_s + \int_0^t \int_E S_{t-s} k(s,\xi,X_{s-}^{n-1}) \tilde{N}(ds, d\xi).$$

We wish to show that $\{X^n\}$ converges and the limit is the desired mild solution. This is done by the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 8.** *For* $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n\|^2 \leq C_1 \frac{C_0^n t^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}.$$

*where* $C_0 = Ce^{2MT}$ *and* $C_1 = D(1 + \mathbb{E}\|X_0\|^2)e^{(2M+1)T}$.

*Proof.* We prove by induction on $n$. Assume that the statement is proved for $n-1$. We have,

$$X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n = \int_0^t S_{t-s}(f(s, X_s^{n+1}) - f(s, X_s^n)) ds + \int_0^t S_{t-s} dM_s, \tag{5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_t \quad = \quad & \int_0^t (g(s, X_{s-}^n) - g(s, X_{s-}^{n-1})) dW_s \\ & + \int_0^t \int_E (k(s,\xi,X_{s-}^n) - k(s,\xi,X_{s-}^{n-1})) \tilde{N}(ds, d\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Itô type inequality (Theorem 2), for $\alpha = 0$, we have

$$\|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n\|^2 \leq \underbrace{2\int_0^t \langle X_{s-}^{n+1} - X_{s-}^n, f(s, X_s^{n+1}) - f(s, X_s^n)\rangle ds}_{A_t}$$

$$+ \underbrace{2\int_0^t \langle X_{s-}^{n+1} - X_{s-}^n, dM_s\rangle}_{B_t} + [M]_t. \quad (6)$$

Note that for a càdlàg function the set of discontinuity points is countable, hence when integrating with respect to Lebesgue measure, they can be neglected. Therefore from now on, we neglect the left limits in integrals with respect to Lebesgue measure. So, for the term $A_t$, the semimonotonicity assumption on $f$ implies

$$A_t \leq M \int_0^t \|X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n\|^2 ds \quad (7)$$

We also have

$$\mathbb{E}[M]_t = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|g(s, X_s^n) - g(s, X_s^{n-1})\|^2 ds$$

$$+ \int_0^t \int_E \mathbb{E}\|k(s, \xi, X_s^n) - k(s, \xi, X_s^{n-1})\|^2 \nu(d\xi) ds,$$

where by Hypothesis 1-(b),

$$\leq C \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^n - X_s^{n-1}\|^2 ds. \quad (8)$$

Now, taking expectations on both sides of (6) and substituting (7) and (8) and noting that $B_t$ is a martingale we find,

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n\|^2 \leq 2M \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n\|^2 ds + C \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^n - X_s^{n-1}\|^2 ds.$$

Now Gronwall's inequality implies

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n\|^2 \leq C_0 \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^n - X_s^{n-1}\|^2 ds,$$

and by induction hypothesis,

$$\leq C_0 \int_0^t C_1 \frac{C_0^{n-1} s^n}{n!} ds = C_1 \frac{C_0^n t^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}.$$

To complete the proof of Lemma 8, it remains to prove the statement for $n = 0$. Applying Itö type inequality to $X_t^1 - X_t^0$ we find,

$$\|X_t^1 - X_t^0\|^2 \le \underbrace{2\int_0^t \langle X_{s-}^1 - X_{s-}^0, f(s, X_s^1)\rangle ds}_{A_t^0} + 2\int_0^t \langle X_{s-}^1 - X_{s-}^0, dM_s^0\rangle + [M^0]_t. \quad (9)$$

where

$$M_t^0 = \int_0^t g(s, X_{s-}^0) dW_s + \int_0^t \int_E k(s, \xi, X_{s-}^0)\tilde{N}(ds, d\xi).$$

For the term $A_t^0$ we have

$$A_t^0 = \int_0^t \langle X_{s-}^1 - X_{s-}^0, f(s, X_s^1) - f(s, X_s^0)\rangle ds + \int_0^t \langle X_{s-}^1 - X_{s-}^0, f(s, X_s^0)\rangle ds$$

Using semimonotonicity of $f$ for the first term and Schwartz inequality for the second term we find

$$A_t^0 \le (M + \frac{1}{2})\int_0^t \|X_s^1 - X_s^0\|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \|f(s, X_s^0)\|^2 ds$$

Substituting in (9) and taking expectations we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\|X_t^1 - X_t^0\|^2 \quad &\le \quad (2M+1)\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^1 - X_s^0\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|f(s, X_s^0)\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|g(s, X_s^0)\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \int_E \mathbb{E}\|k(s, \xi, X_s^0)\|^2 \nu(d\xi) ds\end{aligned}$$

Using Hypothesis 1-(c) and noting that $\|X_t^0\| = \|S_t X_0\| \le \|X_0\|$, we find

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_t^1 - X_t^0\|^2 \le (2M+1)\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^1 - X_s^0\|^2 ds + Dt(1 + \mathbb{E}\|X_0\|^2)$$

Using Gronwall's inequality we conclude

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_t^1 - X_t^0\|^2 \le C_1 t$$

which completes the proof. □

We wish to show the convergence of $\{X^n\}$ in the sense of supremum norm with respect to $t$, but the above lemma is not enough for this purpose. For this reason we state and prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 9.**

$$\mathbb{E}(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n\|)^2 \le C_2 C_0^{n-1} \frac{T^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \quad (10)$$

*where* $C_2 = 4MC_1C_0T + 2CC_1(1 + 2\mathcal{C}_1^2)$.

*Proof.* Applying Burkholder-Davies-Gundy inequality ([15],Theorem 3.50) , for $p = 1$, to term $B_t$ we find,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \sup_{0\le t\le T} |B_t| &\le \mathcal{C}_1 \mathbb{E}\left([B]_T^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\ &\le \mathcal{C}_1 \mathbb{E}\left(\sup_{0\le t\le T}(\|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n\|)[M]_T^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_1$ is the universal constant in the Burkholder-Davies-Gundy inequality. Applying Schwartz inequality we find,

$$\le \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}(\sup_{0\le t\le T} \|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n\|^2) + \mathcal{C}_1^2 \mathbb{E}[M]_T. \tag{11}$$

Now, taking supremum and then expectation on both sides of (6) and substituting (7), (8) and (11), we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(\sup_{0\le t\le T} \|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n\|)^2 \le 2M \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\|X_s^{n+1} - X_s^n\|^2 ds \\ + C(1 + 2\mathcal{C}_1^2)\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\|X_s^n - X_s^{n-1}\|^2 ds \\ + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}(\sup_{0\le t\le T} \|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n\|)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The last term in the right hand side could be subtracted from the left hand side but for this subtraction to be valid it should be finite. The finiteness could be verified by applying Corollary 4 for $p = 2$ to the right hand side of (5), set $F_t = \int_0^t (f(s, X_s^{n+1}) - f(s, X_s^n))ds$, then $F_t$ is a process with finite variation and

$$\begin{aligned}|F|_t^2 &= \left(\int_0^t \|f(s, X_s^{n+1}) - f(s, X_s^n)\| ds\right)^2 \\ &\le t\int_0^t \|f(s, X_s^{n+1}) - f(s, X_s^n)\|^2 ds \\ &\le 2t\left(\int_0^t \|f(s, X_s^{n+1})\|^2 ds + \int_0^t \|f(s, X_s^n)\|^2 ds\right)\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Schwartz inequality. Now by Hypothesis 1-(c),

$$\le \quad 2tD\int_0^t (2 + \|X_s^{n+1}\|^2 + \|X_s^n\|^2) ds.$$

Now, note that Lemma 8 implies that $\int_0^T \mathbb{E}\|X_s^n\|^2 ds < \infty$ and the same is true for $X_t^{n+1}$, hence $\mathbb{E}|F|_t^2 < \infty$ and the assumptions of Corollary 4 are satisfied. Hence

$$\mathbb{E}(\sup_{0\le t\le T} \|X_t^{n+1} - X_t^n\|)^2 < \infty.$$

Back to equation (12), after subtraction we find,

$$\mathbb{E}(\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|X_t^{n+1}-X_t^n\|)^2 \le 4M\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^{n+1}-X_s^n\|^2 ds + 2C(1+2\mathcal{C}_1^2)\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^n - X_s^{n-1}\|^2 ds,$$

Applying Lemma 8 we conclude,

$$\le 4MC_1C_0^n\frac{t^{n+2}}{(n+2)!} + 2C(1+2\mathcal{C}_1^2)C_1C_0^{n-1}\frac{t^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$$

$$\le C_2C_0^{n-1}\frac{t^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$$

and the proof is complete. □

Back to the proof of Theorem 6, since the right hand side of (10) is a convergent series, $\{X^n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in $L^2(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{P};L^\infty([0,T];H))$ and hence converges to a process $X_t(\omega)$. By choosing a subsequence they converge almost sure uniformly with respect to $t$, and since $\{X_t^n\}$ are adapted càdlàg, so is $X_t$.

It remains to show that $X_t$ is a solution of (3). It suffices to show that the terms on both sides of equation (4) converge to that of (3). We know already that $X_t^n \to X_t$ in $L^2([0,T]\times\Omega;H)$. Moreover by Theorem 1 we have,

$$\mathbb{E}\|\int_0^t S_{t-s}g(s,X_{s-}^n)dW_s - \int_0^t S_{t-s}g(s,X_{s-})dW_s\|^2$$

$$\le \mathbf{C}\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \|g(s,X_s^n)-g(s,X_s)\|^2 ds$$

$$\le \mathbf{C}C\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^n - X_s\|ds \to 0,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\|\int_0^t\int_E S_{t-s}k(s,\xi,X_{s-}^n)d\tilde{N} - \int_0^t\int_E S_{t-s}k(s,\xi,X_{s-})d\tilde{N}\|^2$$

$$\le \mathbf{C}\mathbb{E}\int_0^t\int_E \|k(s,\xi,X_s^n)-k(s,\xi,X_s)\|^2\nu(d\xi)ds$$

$$\le \mathbf{C}C\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^n - X_s\|ds \to 0.$$

The term containing $f$ converges in the weak sense. Let $x\in H$,

$$\mathbb{E}\langle x,\int_0^t S_{t-s}(f(s,X_s^n)-f(s,X_s))ds\rangle = \mathbb{E}\int_0^t \langle S_{t-s}^*x, f(s,X_s^n)-f(s,X_s)\rangle ds \tag{13}$$

By demicontinuity of $f$, the integrand on the right hand side converges to 0 for almost every $(s,\omega)\in[0,t]\times\Omega$. On the other hand, by Hypothesis 1-(c), the integrand is dominated by a constant multiple of $\|x\|(1+\|X_s\|+\|X_s^n\|)$ where $\|X_s^n\| \to \|X_s\|$ pointwise almost everywhere and in $L^1([0,T]\times\Omega)$, hence by dominated convergence theorem we conclude that right hand side of (13) tends to 0. Hence $X_t$ is a mild solution of (2). ☐

**Remark 3.** Although we have considered cylindrical Wiener processes but similar results hold for ordinary Wiener processes. In fact, let $W_t$ be a Wiener process on $K$, and $g(t,x,\omega):\mathbb{R}^+\times H\times\Omega\to L(K,H)$ satisfy Hypothesis 1 with the Hilbert-Schmidt norm substituted with operator norm, then theorem 6 and also theorems of the next sections hold also in this case. The reason is that if $\mathcal{K}$ is the RKHS of $W_t$ in the sense of [15], then $W_t$ can be considered as a cylindrical Wiener process on $\mathcal{K}$ and the embedding $\mathcal{K}\hookrightarrow K$ is Hilbert-Schmidt, hence $g$ can be considered to take values in $L_{HS}(\mathcal{K},H)$ and satisfies Hypothesis 1.

# 5 Continuity With Respect to Parameter

The continuous dependence of the solution of stochastic evolution equations with respect to initial conditions and coefficients has been studied by several authors. Consider the following stochastic evolution equation,

$$X_t = S_t X_0 + \int_0^t S_{t-s} f(X_s)ds + V_t$$

Da Prato and Zabczyk [20] studied this equation in the case that $S_t$ is an analytic semigroup and $f$ is locally Lipschitz, and showed that the solution $X$ is a continuous function of $V$. Zangeneh [5] generalized this result and showed that the solution changes continuously when any or all of $V$, $f$,$A$ and $X_0$ are varied. Zangeneh [5] also generalized this result to stochastic evolution equations with Wiener noise and monotone nonlinearity. In the context of Lévy noise, Albeverio, Mandrekar and Rüdiger [14] proved the continuous dependence of the solution of stochastic evolution equations with Lévy noise and Lipshcitz coefficients.

In this section we show the continuous dependence of the mild solution of (2) on initial conditions and coefficients. The following theorem gives a bound for supremum distance of the mild solutions of two different equations by distances of their initial conditions and their coefficients.

**Theorem 10** (Continuity With Respect to Parameter I)**.** *Assume that for $n=0,1$, $f_n(t,x,\omega), g_n(t,x,\omega)$ and $k_n(t,\xi,x,\omega)$ satisfy Hypothesis 1 with the same constants. Let $X_t^n$ be the unique mild solution of*

$$dX_t^n = AX_t^n dt + f_n(t,X_t^n)dt + g_n(t,X_{t-}^n)dW_t + \int_E k_n(t,\xi,X_{t-}^n)\tilde{N}(dt,d\xi),$$

*with initial condition* $X_0^n$*. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\sup_{0\le t\le T} e^{-2\alpha t}\|X_t^1 - X_t^0\|^2 &\le 2e^{C_1 T}\mathbb{E}\|X_0^1 - X_0^0\|^2 \\ &+ 2e^{C_1 T}\int_0^T e^{-2\alpha t}\mathbb{E}\|f_1(t,X_t^0) - f_0(t,X_t^0)\|^2 dt \\ &+ C_2 e^{C_1 T}\int_0^T e^{-2\alpha t}\mathbb{E}\|(g_1(t,X_t^0) - g_0(t,X_t^0))\|^2 dt \\ &+ C_2 e^{C_1 T}\int_0^T\int_E e^{-2\alpha t}\mathbb{E}\|(k_1(t,\xi,X_t^0) - k_0(t,\xi,X_t^0))\|^2 \nu(d\xi)dt, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

*for* $C_1 = 4M + 2 + C(8\mathcal{C}_1^2 + 4)$ *and* $C_2 = 8\mathcal{C}_1^2 + 4$ *where* $\mathcal{C}_1$ *is the constant in Burkholder-Davies-Gundy inequality.*

*Proof.* First we consider the case that $\alpha = 0$. Subtract $X_1$ and $X_0$:

$$\begin{aligned}X_t^1 - X_t^0 &= S_t(X_0^1 - X_0^0) \\ &+ \int_0^t S_{t-s}(f_1(s,X_s^1) - f_0(s,X_s^0))ds + \int_0^t S_{t-s}dM_s,\end{aligned}$$

where

$$M_t = \int_0^t (g_1(s,X_{s-}^1) - g_0(s,X_{s-}^0))dW_s + \int_E (k_1(s,\xi,X_{s-}^1) - k_0(s,\xi,X_{s-}^0))d\tilde{N}.$$

Applying Itô type inequality (Theorem 2), for $\alpha = 0$, to $X^1 - X^0$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}\|X_t^1 - X_t^0\|^2 \le \|X_0^1 - X_0^0\|^2 &+ 2\underbrace{\int_0^t \langle X_{s-}^1 - X_{s-}^0, (f_1(s,X_s^1) - f_0(s,X_s^0))\rangle ds}_{\mathbf{A_t}} \\ &+ 2\underbrace{\int_0^t \langle X_{s-}^1 - X_{s-}^0, dM_s\rangle}_{\mathbf{B_t}} + [M]_t. \end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A_t} = \int_0^t \langle X_{s-}^1 - X_{s-}^0, f_1(s,X_s^1) - f_1(s,X_s^0)\rangle ds \\ + \int_0^t \langle X_{s-}^1 - X_{s-}^0, f_1(s,X_s^0) - f_0(s,X_s^0)\rangle ds.\end{aligned}$$

Using the monotonicity assumption and Schwarz inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A_t} \le M\int_0^t \|X_s^1 - X_s^0\|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \|X_s^1 - X_s^0\|^2 ds \\ + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \|f_1(s,X_s^0) - f_0(s,X_s^0)\|^2 ds.\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

Applying Burkholder-Davies-Gundy inequality for $p = 1$ to term $\mathbf{B_t}$ we find

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0\le s\le t} |\mathbf{B_s}| \le \mathcal{C}_1 \mathbb{E}\left( \sup_{0\le s\le t} \|X_s^1 - X_s^0\| [M]_t^{\frac{1}{2}} \right),$$

and by Schwarz inequality,

$$\le \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0\le s\le t} \|X_s^1 - X_s^0\|^2 + \mathcal{C}_1^2 \mathbb{E}[M]_t. \tag{17}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[M]_t &= \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|(g_1(s,X_s^1) - g_0(s,X_s^0))\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_E \mathbb{E}\|(k_1(s,\xi,X_s^1) - k_0(s,\xi,X_s^0))\|^2 \nu(d\xi) ds \\ &\le 2\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|(g_1(s,X_s^1) - g_1(s,X_s^0))\|^2 ds \\ &\quad +2\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|(g_1(s,X_s^0) - g_0(s,X_s^0))\|^2 ds \\ &\quad +2\int_0^t \int_E \mathbb{E}\|(k_1(s,\xi,X_s^1) - k_1(s,\xi,X_s^0))\|^2 \nu(d\xi) ds \\ &\quad +2\int_0^t \int_E \mathbb{E}\|(k_1(s,\xi,X_s^0) - k_0(s,\xi,X_s^0))\|^2 \nu(d\xi) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Lipschitz assumption on $g$ and $k$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[M]_t \le 2C \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^1 - X_s^0\|^2 ds & \\ + 2\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|(g_1(s,X_s^0) - g_0(s,X_s^0))\|^2 ds & \\ + 2\int_0^t \int_E \mathbb{E}\|(k_1(s,\xi,X_s^0) - k_0(s,\xi,X_s^0))\|^2 \nu(d\xi) ds. & \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Substituting (16), (17) and (18) in (15), after cancelation we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{0\le s\le t} \|X_s^1 - X_s^0\|^2 &\le C_1 \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|X_s^1 - X_s^0\|^2 ds + 2\mathbb{E}\|X_0^1 - X_0^0\|^2 \\ &\quad +2\int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|f_1(s,X_s^0) - f_0(s,X_s^0)\|^2 ds \\ &\quad +C_2 \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|(g_1(s,X_s^0) - g_0(s,X_s^0))\|^2 ds \\ &\quad +C_2 \int_0^t \int_E \mathbb{E}\|(k_1(s,\xi,X_s^0) - k_0(s,\xi,X_s^0))\|^2 \nu(d\xi) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 = 4M + 2 + C(8\mathcal{C}_1^2 + 4)$ and $C_2 = 8\mathcal{C}_1^2 + 4$.

Now applying Gronwall's inequality the statement follows. Hence the proof for the case $\alpha = 0$ is complete. Now for the general case, apply the change of variables used in Lemma 7.

□

As a consequence of Theorem 10 we prove that if the coefficients and initial conditions of two equations converge, then their mild solutions also converge to the mild solution of the limiting equation. The convergence that we prove is in a stronger sense than similar result in [14].

**Corollary 11** (Continuity With Respect to Parameter II)**.** *Assume that for* $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, $f_n$, $g_n$, $k_n$ *and* $X_0^n$ *satisfy Hypothesis 1 with same constants and assume that for every* $t \in [0, T]$ *and* $x \in H$ *we have almost surely*

$$\begin{gathered} f_n(t, x, \omega) \to f_0(t, x, \omega) \\ g_n(t, x, \omega) \to g_0(t, x, \omega) \\ \int_E \|k_n(t, \xi, x, \omega) - k_0(t, \xi, x, \omega)\|^2 \nu(d\xi) \to 0 \\ \mathbb{E}\|X_0^n - X_0^0\|^2 \to 0. \end{gathered}$$

*Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|X_t^n - X_t^0\|^2] \to 0.$$

*Proof.* Apply Theorem 10 for $X^n$ and $X^0$. Note that by Hypothesis 1-(c) the integrands on the right hand side of (14) are dominated by a constant multiple of $(1 + \|X_t^0(\omega)\|^2)$, on the other hand by assumptions they tend to zero almost everywhere on $[0, T] \times \Omega$. Hence by dominated convergence theorem, the right hand side of (14) tends to 0 and therefore

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} e^{-2\alpha t} \|X_t^1 - X_t^0\|^2 \to 0$$

which implies the statement. □

As another consequence of Theorem 10 it follows that if the contraction coefficient of the semigroup is negative enough, then all the mild solutions are exponentially stable.

**Corollary 12** (Exponential Stability)**.** *Let* $X_t$ *and* $Y_t$ *be mild solutions of* (2) *with initial conditions* $X_0$ *and* $Y_0$*. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}\|X_t - Y_t\|^2 \quad \le \quad 2e^{\gamma T} \mathbb{E}\|X_0 - Y_0\|^2$$

*for* $\gamma = 2\alpha + 4M + 2 + C(8\mathcal{C}_1^2 + 4)$*. In particular, if* $\gamma < 0$ *then all mild solutions are exponentially stable.*

# 6 Markov Property

In this section we assume that $f$, $g$ and $k$ are deterministic functions and satisfy Hypothesis 1. Let $0 \leq s \leq t$ and $\eta : \Omega \to H$ be $\mathcal{F}_s$-measurable and square integrable. We denote by $X(s, \eta, t)$ the value at time $t$ of the solution of (2) starting at time $s$ from $\eta$. Let $B_b(H)$ be the space of real valued bounded measurable functions on $H$. For $\varphi \in B_b(H)$ and $x \in H$ define

$$P_{s,t}\varphi(x) := \mathbb{E}\varphi(X(s, x, t)).$$

$P_{s,t}$ is called the *transition semigroup.*

**Theorem 13** (Markov Property)**.** *For $0 \leq r \leq s \leq t$ and $\varphi \in B_b(H)$ we have almost surely*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\varphi(X(r, x, t)|\mathcal{F}_s\right) = P_{s,t}\varphi(X(r, x, s)) \qquad \mathbb{P} - \text{almost sure.}$$

*Proof.* Let $C_b(H)$ denote the set of real valued bounded continuous functions on $H$. It suffices to prove the theorem for $\varphi \in C_b(H)$ since every $\varphi \in B_b(H)$ is the pointwise limit of a uniformly bounded sequence in $C_b(H)$. Fix $r$, $s$ and $t$. We claim that for any square integrable random variable $\eta(\omega)$ which is $\mathcal{F}_s$ measurable, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\varphi(X(s, \eta, t))|\mathcal{F}_s\right) = P_{s,t}\varphi(\eta(\omega)) \qquad \mathbb{P} - \text{almost sure.} \tag{19}$$

We first prove the claim for the case that $\eta$ has a simple form $\eta = \sum y_k \chi_{A_k}$, where $y_k \in H$ and $A_k \in \mathcal{F}_s$ form a partition of $\Omega$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(\varphi(X(s, \eta, t))|\mathcal{F}_s\right) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\sum \varphi(X(s, y_k, t))\chi_{A_k}|\mathcal{F}_s\right) \\ &= \sum \chi_{A_k} \mathbb{E}\left(\varphi(X(s, y_k, t))|\mathcal{F}_s\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $X(s, y_k, t)$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_s$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum \chi_{A_k} \mathbb{E}\left(\varphi(X(s, y_k, t))\right) \\ &= \sum \chi_{A_k} P_{s,t}\varphi(y_k) = P_{s,t}\varphi(\eta(\omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Now for general $\eta$ choose a sequence $\eta_n$ of simple random variables such that tend to $\eta$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and almost surely. We then have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\varphi(X(s, \eta_n, t))|\mathcal{F}_s\right) = P_{s,t}\varphi(\eta_n(\omega)) \qquad \mathbb{P} - \text{almost sure.}$$

Now let $n \to \infty$. By continuity with respect to initial conditions, the left hand side converges to $\mathbb{E}\left(\varphi(X(s, \eta, t))|\mathcal{F}_s\right)$ and the right hand side converges to $P_{s,t}\varphi(\eta(\omega))$ and (19) follows. Now in (19) let $\eta(\omega) = X(r, x, s)$. By uniqueness of solution we have $X(r, x, t) = X(s, X(r, x, s), t)$ and the theorem follows. $\square$

# 7 Some Examples

In this section we provide some concrete examples of semilimear stochastic evolution equations with monotone nonlinearity and Lévy noise. The examples consist of stochastic partial differential equations of parabolic and hyperbolic type and a stochastic delay differential equation.

**Example 1** (Stochastic Parabolic Equations with Finite Dimensional Noise)**.** In this example we consider a SPDE with Lévy noise. The semimonotonicity assumption translates here to a simple assumption stated in Hypothesis 2-(b) which includes, as special case, decreasing functions.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a bounded domain with a smooth boundary in $\mathbb{R}^d$, and let $A$ be a self adjoint second order partial differential operator with smooth coefficients which is uniformly elliptic on $\mathcal{D}$. Let $B$ be the operator $B = d(x)\mathcal{D}_N + e(x)$, where $\mathcal{D}_N$ is the normal derivative on $\partial\mathcal{D}$ and $d$ and $e$ are in $C^\infty(\partial\mathcal{D})$.

Consider the initial boundary value problem,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = Au + f(x, u(t, x)) & + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} g_i(x, u(t^-, x)) \frac{\partial W_i}{\partial t} \\ & + \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} k_j(x, u(t^-, x)) \frac{\partial Z_j}{\partial t} \quad \text{on} \quad [0, \infty) \times \mathcal{D} \\ Bu = 0 & \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad \text{on} \quad [0, \infty) \times \partial\mathcal{D} \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x) & \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{D}. \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

where $W_i(t), i = 1, \ldots, m$ are standard Wiener processes in $\mathbb{R}$ and $Z_j(t), j = 1, \ldots, n$ are pure jump Lévy martingales in $\mathbb{R}$ with intensity measures $\nu_j(d\xi)$ and $u_0(x) \in L^2(\mathcal{D})$. Note that we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi^2 \nu(d\xi) < \infty$. We assume moreover,

**Hypothesis 2.** **(a)** $f(x, a) : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $g_i(x, a) : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $k_j(x, a) : \mathcal{D} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy Caratheodory condition, i.e. they are continuous with respect to $u$ for almost all $x \in \mathcal{D}$ and are measurable with respect to $x$ for all values of $u$.

**(b)** There exists a real constant $M$ such that for any $x \in \mathcal{D}$ and real numbers $a < b$,

$$f(x, a) - f(x, b) \le M(a - b).$$

**(c)** There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $x \in \mathcal{D}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} |g_i(x, a) - g_i(x, b)|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n} |k_j(x, a) - k_j(x, b)|^2 \le C|a - b|^2.$$

**(d)** There exists a function $\psi(x) \in L^2(\mathcal{D})$ and a constant $D > 0$ such that for any $x \in \mathcal{D}$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(x, a)| + \sum_{i=1}^{m} |g_i(x, a)| + \sum_{j=1}^{n} |k_j(x, a)| \le \psi(x) + D|a|.$$

Let $H = L^2(\mathcal{D})$. The operator $A$ together with the boundary condition generates a contraction semigroup $S_t$ on $H$ (Peszat and Zabczyk [15] section B.2). Define for $u(x) \in L^2(\mathcal{D})$,

$$\bar{f}(u)(x) = f(x, u(x))$$

$$\bar{g}_i(u)(x) = g_i(x, u(x))$$

$$\bar{k}_j(u)(x) = k_j(x, u(x))$$

Since $f$, $g_i$ and $k_j$ satisfy Hypothesis 2-(a) and 2-(d), then by Theorem (2.1) of Krasnosel'skiĭ [21], $\bar{f}$, $\bar{g}_i$ and $\bar{k}_j$ define continuous operators from $L^2(\mathcal{D})$ to $L^2(\mathcal{D})$ and for a suitable constant $D'$ satisfy

$$\|\bar{f}(u)\| + \|\bar{g}_i(u)\| + \|\bar{k}_j(u)\| \leq D'(1 + \|u\|)$$

Define $\bar{g} : L^2(\mathcal{D}) \to L^2(\mathcal{D})^m$ and $\bar{k} : \mathbb{R}^n \times L^2(\mathcal{D}) \to L^2(\mathcal{D})^n$ by

$$\bar{g} = (\bar{g}_1, \ldots, \bar{g}_m)$$

$$\bar{k}(\xi, u) = (\xi_1 \bar{k}_1(u), \ldots, \xi_n \bar{k}_n(u)).$$

Now, it is straightforward to verify that $\bar{f}$, $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{k}$ satisfy Hypothesis 1.

Let $W(t) = (W_1(t), \ldots, W_m(t))$ be a Wiener process on $K = \mathbb{R}^m$ and let $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\tilde{N}(dt, d\xi)$ be the compensated Poisson random measure associated with the Lévy process $Z(t) = (Z_1(t), \ldots, Z_n(t))$. Now we can write (20) in the form of equation (2),

$$du(t) = Au(t)dt + \bar{f}(u(t))dt + \bar{g}(u(t^-))dW_t + \int_E \bar{k}(\xi, u(t^-))\tilde{N}(dt, d\xi)$$

with initial condition $u_0$, and hence equation (20) has a unique mild solution $u(t, x, \omega)$ with values in $L^2(\mathcal{D})$ and with càdlàg trajectories. The solution also depends continuously on initial condition.

**Example 2** (Stochastic Parabolic Equations with Space-Time Noise)**.** In this example we would like to consider a SPDE with infinite dimensional noise. A natural candidate for infinite dimensional noise is space-time white noise, but it can be shown that in dimensions greater than one, even the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x) = \Delta u(t, x) + \dot{W}(t, x)$$

does not have a function valued solution ([15], Remark 12.2). In order to guarantee the existence of solution we assume that coefficients are operators on certain function spaces.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ and $A$ be as in Example 1. Consider the initial boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = & Au + f(u(t)) + g(u(t^-))\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} \\ & \qquad + k(u(t^-))\frac{\partial Z}{\partial t} \quad \text{on} \quad [0,\infty) \times \mathcal{D} \\ u = 0 & \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{on} \quad [0,\infty) \times \partial\mathcal{D} \\ u(0, x) = 0 & \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{D} \end{cases} \tag{21}$$

where $W_t$ is a cylindrical Wiener process on $L^2(\mathcal{D})$ and $Z_t$ is a pure jump Lévy martingale on $L^2(\mathcal{D})$, and by $u(t)$ we mean $u(t,.)$.

Let $n$ be an integer. We wish to solve this equation in the function space $H_n$ introduced in Walsh [22]. Let $\{\phi_j\}$ be the complete orthonormal basis for $L^2(\mathcal{D})$ consisting of eigenfunctions of $A$ with Dirichlet boundary condition and $-\lambda_j < 0$ be the corresponding eigenvalues. Let $H_n$ be the Hilbert space that has as a complete orthonormal basis the set $\{e_j = (1+\lambda_j)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\phi_j\}$. Obviously $H_0 = L^2(\mathcal{D})$ and the spaces $H_n$ can be continuously embedded in each other as

$$\cdots \subset H_n \subset \cdots \subset H_1 \subset L^2(\mathcal{D}) \subset H_{-1} \subset \cdots \subset H_{-n} \subset \cdots .$$

Assume moreover,

**Hypothesis 3.** **(a)** $f : H_n \to H_n$ is measurable, demicontinuous and there exists a constant $M$ such that for any $u, v \in H_n$,

$$\langle f(u) - f(v), u - v\rangle \leq M\|u - v\|^2,$$

**(b)** $g : H_n \to L_{HS}(L^2(\mathcal{D}), H_n)$ and $k : H_n \to L(L^2(\mathcal{D}), H_n)$ are Lipschitz.

**(c)** There exists a constant $D$ such that for $u \in H_n$,

$$\|f(u)\|^2 + \|g(u)\|^2 + \|k(u)\|^2 \leq D(1 + \|u\|^2),$$

$A$ generates a $C_0$ semigroup $S_t$ on $H$ where $S_t e_j = e^{-t\lambda_j}e_j$. Let $K = E = L^2(\mathcal{D})$ and let $\tilde{N}(dt, d\xi)$ be the compensated Poisson random measure on $E$ corresponding to the Lévy process $Z_t$ with intensity measure $\nu(d\xi)$, and define

$$\bar{k}(\xi, u) := k(u)(\xi)$$

Now, it is easy to verify that $f$, $g$ and $\bar{k}$ satisfy Hypothesis 1 and therefore equation (21) can be written in the form of equation (2) with initial condition 0 and hence (21) has a mild solution $u(t, x, \omega)$ with values in $H_n$ and with càdlàg trajectories.

**Remark 4.** In Hypothesis 3-(b) one can replace the condition on $g$ by

$$g : H_n \to L(W^{-p,2}(\mathcal{D}), H_n)$$

where $p > \frac{d}{2}$ is a real number, since the embedding $L^2(\mathcal{D}) \hookrightarrow W^{-p,2}(\mathcal{D})$ is Hilbert-Schmidt (see Walsh [22] page 334).

**Example 3** (Second Order Stochastic Hyperbolic Equations)**.** In this example we consider a second order hyperbolic SPDE with Lévy noise. The semimonotonicity condition on the drift coefficient translates here to being semimonotone with respect to the second variable and being Lipschitz with respect to the first variable. Let $\mathcal{D}$, $A$, $W_t$ and $Z_t$ be as in Example 2.

Consider the following second order equation in $\mathcal{D}$:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}u(t,x) = & Au + f(u(t), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}) + g(u(t^-))\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} \\ & \qquad +k(u(t^-))\frac{\partial Z}{\partial t} \quad \text{on} \quad [0,\infty)\times\mathcal{D} \\ u = 0 & \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{on} \quad [0,\infty)\times\partial\mathcal{D} \\ u(0,x) = 0 & \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{D} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0,x) = 0 & \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{D}. \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

Let $n$ be an integer. We wish to solve this equation in the function space $H_{n+1}$ introduced in Example 2. Assume that,

**Hypothesis 4.** **(a)** $f : H_{n+1} \times H_n \to H_n$ is measurable, demicontinuous and there exists constants $M$ and $C$ such that for any $u, u_1, u_2 \in H_{n+1}, v, v_1, v_2 \in H_n$,

$$\langle f(u,v_1) - f(u,v_2), v_1 - v_2\rangle \le M\|v_1 - v_2\|^2,$$

$$\|f(u_1,v) - f(u_2,v)\| \le C\|u_1 - u_2\|.$$

**(b)** $g : H_{n+1} \to L_{HS}(L^2(\mathcal{D}), H_n)$ and $k : H_{n+1} \to L(L^2(\mathcal{D}), H_n)$ are Lipschitz.

**(c)** There exists a constant $D$ such that for $u \in H_{n+1}$, and $v \in H_n$

$$\|f(u,v)\|^2 + \|g(u)\|^2 + \|k(u)\|^2 \le D(1 + \|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2).$$

Let $H = H_{n+1} \times H_n$. Note that $A$ is self adjoint and negative definite on $H_n$. Moreover, we have

$$D((-A)^{\frac{1}{2}}) = H_{n+1}.$$

Hence by Lemma B.3 of [15], the operator

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

generates a $C_0$ semigroup of contractions on $H$. Let $K = E = L^2(\mathcal{D})$. We also define

$$\bar{f}(u,v) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f(u,v) \end{pmatrix}, \bar{g}(u,v)(\phi) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g(u)(\phi) \end{pmatrix}, \bar{k}(\xi,u,v) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ k(u)(\xi) \end{pmatrix}$$

We claim that $\bar{f}$, $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{k}$ satisfy Hypothesis 1. We show the semimonotonicity condition, the other conditions are straightforward.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{f}(u_1,v_1) - \bar{f}(u_2,v_2), \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix}\rangle &= \langle \bar{f}(u_1,v_1) - \bar{f}(u_2,v_2), v_1 - v_2\rangle \\ &= \langle \bar{f}(u_1,v_1) - \bar{f}(u_1,v_2), v_1 - v_2\rangle + \langle \bar{f}(u_1,v_2) - \bar{f}(u_2,v_2), v_1 - v_2\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where by Hypothesis 4-(a) and Shwartz inequality

$$\le M\|v_1 - v_2\|^2 + C\|u_1 - u_2\|\|v_1 - v_2\| \le (M + C)\left(\|u_1 - u_2\|^2 + \|v_1 - v_2\|^2\right)$$

Hence Hypothesis 1-(a) holds with constant $M+C$. Now, if we let

$$X(t) = \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

then equation (22) can be written as

$$dX(t) = \mathcal{A}X(t)dt + \bar{f}(X(t))dt + \bar{g}(X(t^-))dW_t + \int_E \bar{k}(\xi, X(t^-))\tilde{N}(dt, d\xi)$$

with initial condition 0 and hence by Theorem 6 has a mild solution $u(t,x,\omega)$ with values in $H_{n+1}$ and with càdlàg trajectories.

**Remark 5.** One can generalize equation (22) by assuming that coefficients $g$ and $k$ depend moreover on $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$. It suffices to modify the domain of $g$ and $k$ to $H_{n+1} \times H_n$ and then the same arguments hold.

**Example 4** (Stochastic Delay Equations)**.** Consider the following delay differential equation in $\mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{cases} dx(t) = & \left(\int_{-h}^{0} \mu(d\theta)x(t+\theta)\right) dt + f(x(t))dt + g(x(t))dW_t + k(x(t))dZ_t \\ x(\theta) = & \psi(\theta), \theta \in (-h, 0]. \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

where $h > 0$, $\mu$ is a measure on $(-h, 0]$ with finite variation, $W_t$ is a standard Wiener process in $\mathbb{R}$, $Z_t$ is a pure jump Lévy martingale in $\mathbb{R}$ and $\psi(\theta) \in L^2((-h, 0])$. Moreover assume that,

**Hypothesis 5.** **(a)** $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and there exists a constant $M$ such that for any $a < b$,

$$f(a) - f(b) \leq M(a-b),$$

**(b)** $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $k : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are Lipschitz.

**(c)** There exists a constant $D$ such that for $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(a)|^2 + |g(a)|^2 + |k(a)|^2 \leq D(1 + a^2).$$

**Remark 6.** Peszat and Zabczyk [15] have studied this delay differential equation with Lipschitz coefficients. We have replaced Lipschitzness of $f$ by the weaker assumption of semimonotonicity.

Let $H = \mathbb{R} \times L^2((-h, 0])$ and define the operator $A$ on $H$ by

$$A \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \int_{-h}^{0} v(\theta)\mu(d\theta) \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to Da Prato and Zabczyk [23], Proposition A.25, the operator $A$ with domain

$$D(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in H : v \in W^{1,2}(-h, 0), v(0) = u \right\}$$

generates a $C_0$ semigroup $S_t$ on $H$. Let $K = E = \mathbb{R}$ and let $\tilde{N}$ be the compensated Poisson random measure associated with $Z_t$. Define for $\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in H$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\bar{f}(u,v) = \begin{pmatrix} f(u) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \bar{g}(u,v) = \begin{pmatrix} g(u) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \bar{k}(\xi,u,v) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi k(u) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to verify that $\bar{f}$, $\bar{g}$ and $\bar{k}$ satisfy Hypothesis 1. Now, if we let

$$X(t) = \begin{pmatrix} x(t) \\ x_t \end{pmatrix}$$

where $x_t(\theta) = x(t+\theta)$ for $\theta \in (-h, 0]$, then equation (23) can be written as

$$dX(t) = AX(t)dt + \bar{f}(X(t))dt + \bar{g}(X(t^-))dW_t + \int_E \bar{k}(\xi, X(t^-))\tilde{N}(dt, d\xi)$$

with initial condition

$$X(0) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi(0) \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}$$

and hence by Theorem 6 has a unique mild solution $x(t,\omega)$ with càdlàg trajectories and the solution depends continuously on initial condition.

# References

[1] Kotelenez, P. 1984. A Stopped Doob Inequality for Stochastic Convolution Integrals and Stochastic Evolution Equations. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* 2(3):245-265

[2] Krylov, N. V., and Rozovskii, B. L. 1981. Stochastic Evolution Equations. *Journal of Soviet Mathematics* 16:1233-1277.

[3] Browder, F. E. 1964. Non-linear Equations of Evolution. *The Annals of Mathematics* 80(3):485-523.

[4] Kato, T. 1964. Nonlinear Evolution Equations in Banach Spaces. *Proc. Symp. Appl. Math.* 17:50-67.

[5] Zangeneh, B. Z. 1990. *Semilinear Stochastic Evolution Equations*, Ph.D Thesis, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C. Canada.

[6] Zangeneh, B. Z. 1995. Semilinear stochastic evolution equations with monotone nonlinearities. *Stochastics Stochastics Reports* 53:129-174.

[7] Jahanipur, R., and Zangeneh, B. Z. 2000. Stability of Semilinear Stochastic Evolution Equations with Monotone Nonlinearity. *Mathematical Inequalities and Applications* 3:593-614.

[8] Jahanipur, R. 2003. Stability of Stochastic Delay Evolution Equations with Monotone Nonlinearity. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* 21(1):161-181.

[9] Jahanipur, R. 2010. Stochastic Functional Evolution Equations with Monotone Nonlinearity: Existence and Stability of the Mild Solutions. *Journal of Differential Equations* 248:1230-1255.

[10] Hamedani, H. D., and Zangeneh, B. Z. 2007. The Existence, Uniqueness, and Measurability of a Stopped Semilinear Integral Equation. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* 25(3):493-518.

[11] Hamedani, H. D., and Zangeneh, B. Z. 2001. Stopped Doob Inequality for $p$-th Moment, $0 < p < \infty$, Stochastic Convolution Integrals. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* 19(5):771-798.

[12] Dadashi-Arani, H., and Zangeneh, B. Z. 2010. Large Deviation Principle for Semilinear Stochastic Evolution Equations with Monotone Nonlinearity and Multiplicative Noise. *Differential and Integral Equations* 23(7-8):747-772.

[13] Zamani, S., and Zangeneh, B. Z. 2005. Random Motion of Strings and Related Stochastic Evolution Equations with Monotone Nonlinearities. *Stochastic Analysis and Applications* 23(5):903-920.

[14] Albeverio, S., Mandrekar, V., and Rüdiger, B. 2009. Existence of Mild Solutions for Stochastic Differential Equations and Semilinear Equations with Non-Gaussian Lévy Noise. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* 119:835-863.

[15] Peszat, S., and Zabczyk, J. 2007. *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations With Lévy Noise*, Cambridge University Press.

[16] Salavati, E., and Zangeneh, B. Z. 2012. Semilinear Stochastic Evolution Equations of Monotone Type with Lévy Noise. *Proceedings of Dynamic Systems and Applications* 6:380-387.

[17] Kotelenez, P. 1982. A submartingale type inequality with applications to stochastic evolution equations. *Stochastics* 8:139-151.

[18] Zangeneh, B. Z. 1991. Measurability of the Solution of a Semilinear Evolution Equation. *Progress in Probability* 24, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA.

[19] Curtain, R. F., and Pritchard, A. J. 1978. *Infinite dimensional linear systems theory*, Springer-Verlag.

[20] Da Prato, G., and Zabczyk, J. 1988. A Note on Semilinear Stochastic Equations. *Differential and Integral Equations*, 1(2):1-13.

[21] Krasnosel'ski ĭ, M. A. 1964. *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations*, Vol. 45. Macmillan.

[22] Walsh, J. 1986. An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations. *E'cole d'E't de Probabilits de Saint Flour XIV-1984*, 265-439.

[23] Da Prato, G., and Zabczyk, J. 1992. *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*, Cambridge.